UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

TT . 1	\sim .	•		
I mitod	States	α t	/\ morico	
Omea	Diales	OI.	America	٠.
				-,

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

Criminal No. 11-365 (MJD/LIB)

Keith Brian Donnell,

Defendant.

The above-entitled matter comes before the Court upon Defendant's objection to the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois February 2, 2012. The Defendant objects to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that his motions to suppress be denied. The Defendant argues that the affidavit supporting the search warrant of his residence was misleading and that the issuing Magistrate Judge lacked probable cause to issue the search warrant because there was insufficient evidence as to the reliability of the CI, and because law enforcement did not witness the purchaser enter the Defendant's residence.

Pursuant to statute, the Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of the record. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule 72.2(b). Based on that review, the Court

will adopt the Report and Recommendation in its entirety.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence or Statements Obtained as a Result of Search and Seizure [Doc. Nos. 20, 23, 25, and 26] are

DENIED.

Date: March 19, 2012

s/Michael J. DavisMichael J. DavisChief JudgeUnited States District Court

Criminal No. 11-365